

HARTCROWSER

Delivering smarter solutions

May 16, 2001

Anchorage

www.hartcrowser.com

Mr. John Childs

Project Manager, Environmental Resources

Port of Portland

P.O. Box 3529

Portland, Oregon 97208

Chicago

Boston

Re:

Report of Results of the Water Quality Monitoring Program for the Terminal 5, Berth

503, and Terminal 6, Berths 603-605.

J-15045-01

Dear Mr. Childs:

Denver

Hart Crowser is pleased to present the results of the water quality monitoring program conducted during the dredging of sediments at Terminal 5, Berth 503, and Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 (Figure 1). This work was conducted in general accordance with Hart Crowser's Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) for this project dated January 11, 2001.

Fairbanks

INTRODUCTION

Jersey City

The sediment and elutriate quality of the proposed dredge prisms at Terminals 5 and 6 had been characterized by Hart Crowser in the Dredged Material Characterization Study, dated November 20, 2000. Only DDT exceeded the Screening Levels contained in the Dredged Material Evaluation Framework for the Lower Columbia River; however, these exceedences were slight and inconsistent across sampling sites, and the sediments were determined to be within the parameters of suitability for in-water disposal.

Juneau

In January and early February 2001, the Port of Portland conducted maintenance dredging of sediments at Terminal 5, Berth 503, and Terminal 6, Berths 603-605, and placed this dredge material in the upland sediment rehandling facility located near Suttle Road (Figure 1). Hart Crowser conducted water quality monitoring for *in-situ* physical parameters and collected and submitted water samples for laboratory chemical analyses during dredging activities.

286468

Long Beach

Portland

11.II

Seattle

Five Centerpointe Drive, Suite 240 Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035-8652 Fax 503.620.6918 Tel 503.620.7284 Port of Portland May 16, 2001 J-15045-01 Page 2

Water quality monitoring was also conducted at the Suttle Road rehandling facility to document the quality of the effluent return flow to the Columbia River. These results will be presented in a separate report.

PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

The general objectives of this water quality monitoring program were to:

- Collect field data to help assess conformance with the Port's Water Quality Certification issued by the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) on January 12, 2001; and
- Collect field and laboratory data to describe the nature and extent of water quality that
 may be associated with maintenance dredging activities. Because bulk sediment quality
 indicated that the dredge prisms were generally suitable for in-water disposal, the
 possibility for turbidity excursions was the primary concern; however, the Port also
 performed chemical analysis of water column samples to ensure that sedimentary
 contaminants were adequately contained during dredging.

The specific objectives of this water quality monitoring program were to:

- Monitor the *in-situ* physical parameters of the water column during dredging. Physical parameters include: conductivity, dissolved oxygen, pH, temperature, and turbidity. Measurements were averaged over the top, middle, and bottom portions of the water column using the Seabird® CTD water quality monitoring instrument.
- Collect and chemically analyze site water samples for tributyltin (TBT) (both total and
 dissolved species), pesticides (in particular DDT), semivolatile organic compounds, and
 total suspended solids (TSS) from the middle of the water column at the mixing zone
 boundary downstream from the dredge.

SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

The protocols and procedures used during sampling and chemical analysis are summarized below with an explanation of any deviations from the sampling plan procedures proposed in Hart Crowser's SAP.

Dredge Site Field Parameter Monitoring using the Seabird® CTD

In-situ water quality parameters were monitored over a total of three dredging days using the Seabird® CTD to characterize the physical characteristics of the dredge plume. The

Seabird® CTD profiles were obtained at five stations during one day of dredging at Terminal 5, Berth 503, and two days of dredging at Terminal 6, Berths 603-605. As presented on Figures 2 and 3, the field monitoring locations at Terminal 5, Berth 503, and Terminal 6, Berths 603-605, were located as follows:

- <u>Upstream Station</u>: one location approximately 500 feet directly upstream of the point of dredging (outside of plume) to be representative of site background conditions;
- Mixing Zone Boundary: one location approximately 100 feet directly downstream of the point of dredging;
- Mixing Zone Boundary—Left: one location approximately 100 feet downstream of the point of dredging and to the left of the predominant current direction ("nearshore" at Terminal 6, "offshore" at Terminal 5);
- <u>Mixing Zone Boundary—Right</u>: one location approximately 100 feet downstream of the point of dredging and to the right of the predominant current direction ("offshore" at Terminal 6, "nearshore" at Terminal 5); and
- <u>Downstream Station</u>: one location approximately 250 feet directly downstream of the point of dredging.

During the February 9 monitoring event at Terminal 6, an additional monitoring station was added within the mixing zone at 50 feet downstream from the dredge. Also, during the January 25 monitoring event at Terminal 5, a second monitoring station was added 500 feet upstream from the dredge to assess the inherent variability of water quality in the Willamette River.

Water Column Sampling for Contaminants of Concern

Surface water samples were collected by Hart Crowser to assist the Port in assessing site water quality during dredging activities. Water samples were collected from the middle of the water column at Terminal 5, Berth 503, and Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 at locations presented on Figures 2 and 3, respectively. At Terminal 5, Berth 503, the chemical sampling program included two upstream locations approximately 500 feet upstream to be representative of site background conditions and two downstream locations, yielding a total of four water samples. At Terminal 6, Berths 603-605, the chemical sampling program included one day of sampling from one upstream location approximately 500 feet upstream to be representative of site background conditions and three downstream locations, yielding a total of four water samples.



ï

Port of Portland May 16, 2001 J-15045-01 Page 4

The ten water samples were submitted to Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. (CAS), in Kelso, Washington for analysis of total and dissolved TBT, pesticides, semivolatiles, and TSS. Samples intended for dissolved TBT analysis were filtered at CAS using a stainless steel filter.

Modifications to the Sampling and Analysis Plan

As presented in the SAP, the water quality monitoring program was scheduled to include five days of monitoring field parameters using the Seabird® CTD (A total of two days at Berth 503 and three days at Berths 603-605). The Hart Crowser monitoring team was mobilized to the field site two times but was unable to monitor or sample due to unexpected conditions. As a result, only one day of monitoring field parameters using the Seabird® CTD at Terminal 5, Berth 503 was accomplished.

On one occasion, dredging was not in progress due to operational delays; therefore, only two days of monitoring field parameters using the Seabird® CTD at Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 was accomplished. Due to unexpected operational delays, only one day of mid-water column sampling was accomplished at Terminal 6. As a result of the one day mid-water column sampling at Terminal 6, an additional mid-water sample was collected yielding a total of four water samples. The additional mid-water column sample location was approximately 50 feet downstream of the point of dredging.

In accordance to the methodology presented in the SAP, mid-water column samples were to be collected using a Niskin bottle. Mid-water column samples were collected at Terminal 5, Berth 503 using a Niskin bottle; however, due to a mechanical failure occurring to the Niskin bottle at Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 (February 2), mid-water column water samples were collected using a 12-volt pump (February 6).

WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS

This section presents the results of the Seabird® CTD profiles obtained at Terminal 5, Berth 503, and Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 to characterize the dredge plume physical characteristics. Physical parameters include conductivity, dissolved oxygen, pH, temperature, and turbidity throughout the entire water column using the Seabird® CTD water quality monitoring instrument.

Terminal 5, Berth 503

pH. The pH measurements recorded at Terminal 5, Berth 503 are presented in Table 1. Downstream of dredging activities, the average pH measurements were within the Water Quality Standards (OAR 340-041-0442) of 6.5 to 8.5 units.

Dissolved Oxygen. Dissolved oxygen (DO) profiles recorded at Terminal 5, Berth 503 are presented in Table 1. The average DO concentrations ranged between 11.86 and 12.13 mg/L. The most stringent of the Water Quality Standards for DO requires that DO be maintained above 11.0 mg/L for salmonid spawning habitat during the periods from spawning to fry emergence [OAR 340-041-0442]. All monitoring locations were in compliance with this criterion.

Turbidity. Turbidity profiles recorded at Terminal 5, Berth 503 are presented in Table 1. The average turbidity measurements at the mixing zone boundary did not exceed the Water Quality Standards (OAR 340-041-0442) of ten percent above the natural background turbidity relative to the control point 500 feet upstream from the point of dredging.

Temperature. Temperature measurements recorded at Terminal 5, Berth 503 are presented in Table 1. The average temperature measurements ranged from 41.3 to 41.7°F, and were well below the water quality threshold (OAR 340-041-0442) of 68°F.

Conductivity. Conductivity measurements recorded at Terminal 5, Berth 503 are presented in Table 1. The average conductivity measurements ranged from 94.1 to 109.5 µmho/cm. No Water Quality Standards exist for conductivity.

Terminal 6, Berths 603-605

pH. The pH measurements recorded at Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 on February 5 and February 9, 2001 are presented in Table 1. The average pH measurements were above the Water Quality Standard (OAR 340-041-0205) of 8.5 during both monitoring events; however, the highest pH measurements were obtained at the upstream monitoring stations during both events. The upstream monitoring locations are considered ambient conditions because they are outside the influence of dredging activities. The Seabird® CTD was subsequently determined to be out of calibration for pH during these events. However, the pH probe is calibrated independently of the other Seabird® CTD probes; therefore, the other data parameters are valid.

Dissolved Oxygen. DO profiles recorded at Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 on February 5 are presented in Table 1. The average DO profiles ranged between 12.93 and 13.17 mg/L. All monitoring locations were in compliance with the most stringent DO criterion of >11.0 mg/L in salmonid spawning areas.

DO profiles recorded at Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 on February 9 are presented in Table 1. The average DO profiles ranged between 12.86 and 13.32 mg/L. All monitoring locations were in compliance with the most stringent DO criterion of >11.0 mg/L in salmonid spawning areas.

Turbidity. Turbidity profiles recorded at Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 on February 5 are presented in Table 1. The average turbidity measurement recorded at the upstream station was 22.00 NTU (depth: -28 to -44 feet). The source of the elevated turbidity at this location is unknown. The average turbidity measurements at the downstream stations did not exceed the Water Quality Standards (OAR 340-041-0205) of ten percent above the natural turbidity as measured relative to the control point immediately upstream of the turbidity causing activity.

Turbidity profiles recorded at Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 on February 9 are presented in Table 1. The average turbidity measurement recorded at the upstream station was 5.09 NTU throughout the entire water column. The average turbidity measurements recorded at the three 100-foot downstream locations were above the ten percent natural turbidity as measured relative to the control point immediately upstream of the turbidity causing activity. These turbidity measurements were recorded only at the bottom depths (–28 to –45 feet), indicating that the turbidity plume is restricted to the bottom depths and is significantly reduced to be similar to background 5.98 NTU at 250 feet downstream.

Temperature. Temperature measurements recorded at Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 on February 5 and February 9 are presented in Table 1. The average temperature measurements ranged from 39.9 to 40.4°F, and were well below the water quality threshold (OAR 340-041-0205) of 68°F.

Conductivity. Conductivity measurements recorded at Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 on February 5 and February 9 are presented in Table 1. The average conductivity measurements ranged from 155 to 169 µmho/cm. No Water Quality Standards exist for conductivity.

Port of Portland May 16, 2001 J-15045-01 Page 7

LABORATORY CHEMICAL ANALYSIS RESULTS

Analytical results for mid-water column samples collected at Terminal 5, Berth 503, and Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 were below relevant state and federal water quality screening criteria. Chemical analytical data are summarized in Table 2.

Terminal 5, Berth 503

Tributyltin. Total TBT was detected at trace concentrations in three out of four water column samples, including both of the upstream monitoring stations. Detected concentrations of total TBT were well below water quality screening levels, and all downstream concentrations were equal to or lower than upstream ambient concentrations.

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons. No polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) were detected in any water column samples at Terminal 5.

Chlorinated Pesticides. No chlorinated pesticides were detected in any water column samples at Terminal 5.

Terminal 6, Berths 603-605

Tributyltin. Neither total nor dissolved TBT species were detected in any water column samples at Terminal 6.

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons. PAHs were not detected in water column samples from the upstream station or from the downstream stations at 50 feet and 100 feet from the point of dredging. At 250 feet downstream from the point of dredging, naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene were detected at trace concentrations of 0.043 and 0.024 µg/L, respectively. This naphthalene concentration is orders of magnitude below its surface water screening criteria. No criteria exist for 2-methylnaphthalene. Because these PAHs were not detected closer to the point of dredging, it is unlikely that they were caused by dredging activities.

Chlorinated Pesticides. No chlorinated pesticides were detected in any water column samples at Terminal 6.

Port of Portland May 16, 2001 J-15045-01 Page 8

CONCLUSIONS

Water quality monitoring of *in-situ* physical parameters at 100 feet downstream from the points of dredging at Terminals 5 and 6 indicates that no turbidity excursions were observed in the upper and middle parts of the water column at any time. These data are consistent with routine visual observations in which no visible turbidity was evident during dredging activities, in compliance with the Port's Water Quality Certification. Evidence of dredging related turbidity was observed in the bottom portion of the water column during the February 9 monitoring event only; however, turbidity decreased rapidly to background values with increasing distance downstream and decreasing water depth.

DO and temperature were in compliance with water quality criteria at all times and locations. pH excursions at Terminal 6 were caused by the Seabird® CTD drifting out of calibration based on manufacturer calibration records following the sampling activities.

Chemical analysis of water column samples for TBT, PAHs, and chlorinated pesticides indicate no significant releases of contaminants occurred during monitoring activities, and no water quality criteria were exceeded for these constituents. These results are consistent with the bulk sediment chemistry of the dredge prisms that showed only low-level chemical concentrations that would generally be suitable for in-water disposal.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide services to you on this project. Please call if you have any questions or require additional information regarding this project.

Sincerely,

Commence of the control of the contr

HART CROWSER, INC.

Ketth A. Kroeger

Senior Staff Aquatic Toxicologist

TOWARD L. CUMBERLAND

Associate

Attachments:

Table 1 - Water Quality Monitoring Physical Parameter Results

Table 2 - Analytical Results for Mid-Water Column Samples

Figure 1 - Site Location Map

Figure 2 - Water Quality Monitoring Program at Terminal 5, Berth 503

Figure 3 - Water Quality Monitoring Program at Terminal 6, Berths 603-605

Table 1 - Water Quality Monitoring Physical Parameter Results Port of Portland Portland, Oragon

			pH ¹ Minimum Maximum *AVerage*		DO (mg/L)			Turbidity (NTU)			Temperature (°F)			Conductivity (µmho/cm)		
Sample Location	Water Depth	Minimum	Maximum	*Average*	Minimum	Maximum	Averages	Minimum	Maximun	N Average	Minimum	Maximun	n -Average	Minimum	Maximum	AVere
Sample Date Jan						,										
T5-Upstream 500'	Top (0-11)	8.28	9.31	8888154188	11.05	14.91	12.13	7.81	9.28	现今854866	41.59	41.78	54416388	91,52	97.03	239410
	Middle (11-22)	8.18	8.29	8.22	12.00	12.06	1 6 0 (6 182 (6 k) 2 (181 (8)	8.30	9.77	872 10:58	41.58	41.64	1141 60	91.75	94.56	94
	Bottom (22-35)	8.12	8.19	CHARLES	11.94	12.04	211 99 A	8.79	12.70	3810:58 W	41.57	41.59	41(58)	.91.82	94.70	98.94
T5-Downstream 100'	Top (0-9)	8.23	8.61	8.88	10,54	12.53	12,18	8,30	9.77	889.8	41.63	41.67	4 65	102.69	108.17	10A
	Middle (9-18)	8.13	8.24	9.08 9.18 9.09	11.89	12.03	19.90	8.30	10.74	× 8.93	41.64	41.68	41.66	-100.10	110.81	105
	Bottom (18-28)	8.02	8.14	8.09	11.82	11.99	11.91	8.30	11.23	8 94	41.64	41.68	41.65	100.15	124.47	9107
T5-Downstream 250'	Top (0-10)	8.10	8.46	2181241	10.62	12.44	11/2/02/5	7.33	10.26		41.66	41.80		97.28	111.05	98103
	Middle (10-20)	8.00	8.14	8.08	11.83	11.93	11.88	8.30	9.77		41.69	41.73	41.72 41.70	102.87	113.80	108
	Bottom (20-30)	8.02	8.07	HIS 810490	11.85	11,91	911.87	8.30	9.77	8195	41.69	41.71	4197.0%	108.25	113.73	1846
	Top (0-8)	8.09	8.37	CHEST COST CONTRACTOR	10.76	12.56		8,30	10.26		41.50	41.68		105.72	124.78	
T5-Downstream Offshore 100'				Balg			No.11210074			8,92			# 3411460 HT			
	Middle (8-16)	8.10	8.14	0.02	11.84	11.89	11186	8.30	9.77	8.88	41.64	41.69	41.67	110.94	116.41	283333
	Bottom (16-25)	8.05	8.23		11.81	12.10	2011(95)	7.81	9.77	ON 8 7 18 98	40.78	41.67	3%,4 f(268)	110.98	142.84	3180
T5-Downstream Nearshore 100'	Top (0-8)	8.03	8.16	8,07	10.80	12.51	\$ 12/06	7.81	10.26	86388	41.65	41.68	41.68	105.74	108.46	108
	Middle (8-16)	7,99	8.06	803/8	11.85	11.94	11,89	. 8,30	9.77	8.65	41.65	41.68	41,66	108.32	111.09	108
	Bottom (16-23)	7.99	8.02	3.(05 3.(0)0 x	11.84	11,90	%117B739	8.30	10.26	38.76	41.67	41.68	5241,6789	108.31	111,04	109
Sample Date Feb	ruary 5, 2001															
T6-Upstream1 500'	Top (0-14)	9.15	9.65	9 29 8	12.89	13.53	1311318	3.42	4.88	建設有額次優勢	39.86	39.94	\$39 Hask	186.52	169.63	第138
	Middle (14-28)	9.14	9.17	8991688	13.03	13.12	10.08	3.42	4.40	4.03 22.00	39.86	39.87	39 87	166.82	169.93	168
	Bottom (28-42)	8.98	9.16	9,09	11.46	19.34	13 0188	3,42	72.77	建建设建设设施	39.86	39.88	39 87	167.17	170.02	168
T6-Downstream1 100' T6-Downstream1 Offshore 100'	Top (0-14)	9.08	9.32	SESSION MESSES	11.09	13.45	13109161	3.42	5.37	584512868	39.86	39.89	08/39/8788	167.53	170.71	3/3/1576
	Middle (14-28)	8.99	9,10		12.94	13.04	13.00	3.91	5.86	18 4 44	39.85	39.87		167.90	170,82	158
				9.04 9.02									39.88 39.87			
	Bottom (28-42)	9.00	9.06		12.94	13.03	%12:99 %	2.93	6.84	解除4.0.1 %的	39,85	39.87		167.95	170,88	月189
	Top (0-14)	8.83	8.99	8.9	11.48	13.69	13.06	3.91	7.81	475	39.86	39.88	339.8738	167.94	170,91	98158
	Middle (14-28)	8.90	8.98	8.93	12.90	13.02	1/2195	4.88	7.81	64.6	39.85	39.87	39.86	165.58	171.23	158
Oliationa 100	Bottom (28-44)	8.92	8.99	3.00	12.89	13.00	(2.98	6.35	9.77	7.54	39.85	39.86	3918.6	168,29	168.42	WR188
T6-Downstream1	Top (0-14)	8.93	8.98	8.846	10.27	13.56	13.17	3.91	7.81	4.21 4.21	39.87	39.89	9882988888	167.96	171.02	35158
	Middle (14-28)	8,92	9.00	8.96	12.94	13.07	18100	3.42	4.88	42138	39.88	39,89	39.89	168,09	170,90	168
Nearshore 100'	Bottom (28-44)	8.99	9.08	8,96° 9,03	12.92	13.03	16,00 12,07	3.42	6.35	4,33	39.88	39.90	39 89 39 89 4	168.12	168.32	20158
	Тор	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA.	NA	NA	SEENASS	· NA	NA	98888EN7
T6-Downstream1 250'	Middle	NA	NA I		NA	NA	NA.	NA	NA	(80 588 N / 201888)	NA	NA	SSSS AT A SSSS	NA	NA	
	Bottom	NA	NA	197 187	NA	NA	NASS	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA	SON NO
Sample Date Feb	and the same of th	130	INA	100808493/0008961	INA	NO.	SECONO. 1000000	147	1177	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	110	1474	Kabbast Takhdoood	1474	1175	Necessary 1
Sample Date Feb	Top (0-14)	9.28	9.59	9.39	11.84	14.69	1331	4.40	6.35	BEEN ANDER	40,32	40.37	N:403434	154.32	157.29	18 (55)
EQ 11		9.20		9.25			13 12	4.40	5.86	5.12 5.03	40,32	40.33	4032	154.50	157.62	55.
6-Upstream2 500'	Middle (14-28)		9.34		13.10	13.19							40.33			
	Bottom (28-44)	9.18	9.33	9.22	13,08	13.15	18:11	4.40	6.35	5.06	40.32	40.34		154.87	157.86	155
T6-Downstream2	Top (0-19)	9.18	9.36	9.2H	11.29	13.69	13 23	4.88	6.35	5.33	40.33	40,37	4035	155.32	158.40	156
50'	Middle	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA	SINA SIN	NA .	NA	BESTEIN
	Bottom	NA	NA	SENASS	NA	NA .	NA NA	NA	NA	SERNA SE	NA	NA	STANCE NAMES	NA	NA	SAMEN/
TO D	Top (0-15)	8.95	9.10	8.99	10.28	13.65	10,22	4.40	6.84	\$4982	40,17	40.32	40.25	158.52	161.77	\$\$ t 643
T6-Downstream2	Middle (15-30)	9.03	9.24	9.09	12.98	13.11	源10:05%	4.40	9.28	常好6.24 00	40.13	40.24	40.20	161.59	161.93	10.1
	Bottom (30-45)	9.12	9.25	9.19	12.93	13.07	13.01	5.86	40.54	19/49/8	40.19	40.23	40.213	161.63	161.82	181
	Top (0-14)	8.70	8.99		11.58	13.55		4.88	7.33	20505	40.37	40.42	40.40	158.06	158.34	10158
T6-Downstream2 250'				8.83									经成为基本证明			
	Middle (14-28)	8.96	9.09	9.02	12.98	13.05	10.02.5	5.37	6.84	5.91	40.38	40.41	40 39 40 39	158.29	158.41	158
	Bottom (28-43)	9.07	9.17	9.01	12,98	13.04	3813.0186	5.37	6.84	5.98	40.38	40.40		158.21	158.38	158
T6-Downstream2 Offshore 100'	Top (0-15)	9.03	9.16	9 0 0 5 0	11.51	13,70	(0.28	4.88	5.86	5136	40.24	40.29	40.27	158.68	161.53	1818
	Middle (15-30)	9.04	9.27		13.01	13.15	13.08	4.88	8.30		40.18	40.28	40/22/8	161.38	161.69	161
	Bottom (30-45)	9.13	9.28	97788	12,98	13.10	13.02	6.35	24.42	EST6 (29)	40.18	40.24	40.20	161.55	161.81	161
T6-Downstream2 Nearshore 100'	Top (0-15)	9.16	9.26	9.20	11.68	13.73	18182×	4.40	5,86	2055 1288	40.24	40.38	1240/3183	158.49	161.65	160
	Middle (15-30)	9.15	9.26	9.18	13.05	13.20	10.12	4,40	6.35	5 12	40.24	40.29	40.26	158.81	161.74	161
										CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY.	40.22	40.37		158.58	161.79	
	Bottom (30-45)	9.13	9.24	971000	12.89	13.13	13.02	4.40	65.45	18:90%	40.22	40.37	40.33	120,00	101.79	15819

Notes

^{1:} pH of Terminal 6 sampling stations exceeding the Water Quality Standard of pH 8.5 may indicate Seabird® CTD was out of calibration.

NA: Not Available

Indicates Water Quality Excursion.

	Ambient Water (1989) EPA Freshwater Criteria (µp/L)		Ambient Water (1988) QAR Freehwater Criteria (µg/L)		Orinking Water (µg/L)				·					
							Terminal 5, Berth 503				Terminal 6, Berths 603-605 T6-Upstream T6-Downstream T6-Downstream			
	CMC	ccc	Acute	Chronio	OAR Drinking Water MCL®	EPA-9 PRG Tap Water ⁴	T5-Upstream1 500 ft	T5-Upstream2 500 It	T5-Downstream	T5-Downstream 250 it	T6-Upstream 500 (t	T6-Downstream	T6-Downstream	T6-Downstrean 250 (t
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)		. V					9	10	6 U	8	8 U	6	5 U	8
Butyltins (µg/L)			1	1	ľ	ł		1				1	7.7	1.
Tributykin (TBT) Unfiltered	0.46 [†]	0.063					0.008 J	0.008 J	0.02 U	0.008 J	0.02 U	0.02 U .	0.02 U	0.02 U
Tributylin (TBT) Filtered	0.40	0.000]	<u></u>	0.0000	0.0083	0.020	0.000	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
LPAHs (vg/L)	••] "	•	1 -		· ·	•	·-		{	0.02 0	0.020	0.020	0.02 0
Acenaphthene		l	1700	520	1		0.019 (/	0.019 U	0.018 U	0.019 U	0.019 (/	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
Acensphihylene		"	1700	1		370	0.019 U	0.018 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
Anthracene	••			l: •			0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
Fluorene	••		••			1800	0.018 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
Naphthalene			2300	620		240	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.043
Phenanthrene			••			8.2	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.018 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
2-Melhylnaphthalene]	••				0.019 U	0.018 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.024
HPAHs (µg/L)			•			i				i i	•	1		
Benz(a)anthracene	••	ا ا	••			0.092	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.018 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
Benzo(a)pyrene		. ••	••			0.0092	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0,019 U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene						0.092	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0,019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
Senzo(k)fluoranthens	••			••	t 1	0.92	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	. 0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
Total Benzolluoranthenes (b+k)	••	1 . 1	••			/	0.018 U	0.018 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
Benzo(g,h,l)perylene				••		l I	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U .	0.019 ∪
Chrysene	 .		••	-		9.2	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 ぜ	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
Dibenz(a,h)anthracens	**		••	••		0.0092	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 ∪ ∫	0.019 U	(0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
Fluoranthene	•• .		3980,			1500	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	.0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	•• '		••	·-	••	0.092	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
Pyrene	••	1 . 1		1	- 1	180	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 U
Dibenzoluran	**		••		•	••	0.018 U	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.019 _. U	0.019 U	0.019 U .	0.019 ∪	-0.019 U
Pesticides (µg/L)		1		f .	,									
slpha-BHC	**			••		••	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
beta-BHC	••	[•]	••			{	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
delta-BHC	••) •- <u> </u>	••	ļ ·			0.02 U	0.02 U	0,02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
gamma-Chlordane	••	1 - 1	••			••	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
4,4'-ODO	•	•	••			0.28	0.02 U	0.02 U	0,02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
4,4'-DDE	••	l i	1050	••		0.2	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
4,4'-DOT	1,1	0.001	. 1.1	0.001		0.2	0.02 U	0.02 U	. 0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Total DDT	:	••	•• .			•••	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Aldrín	3	1.3	**			0.004	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Chlordane (alpha)	2,4	0.0043	•	· •		0.19	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Oleidrin	0.24	0.056	. · ••	••	••	0.0042	0.02 U	0.02 U	0,02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0,02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Endosulfan	0.22	0.056	**		••	220	0.02 U	.0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Endosulfan II	•••	i •	••	*.4	••		0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U 0.02 U	0.02 U 0.02 U
Endosulfan Sulfate	0.000	0.000	. ••	•		.:	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U 0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U 0.02 U	0.02 U 0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Endin .	0.086	0.036		• • •	0.2	11	0.02 U	0.02 U 0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Endrin Aldehyde	.**	1 - 1	· •		· • {	•• ł	0.02 U			0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Endrin Ketone		1 00000	. **	•	• •	0015	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U		0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Heptachlor	0.52	0.0038	••	-	-	0.015	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U				0.02 U
Heptachlor Epoxide	0.52	0.0038	••		:	0.0074	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	
Lindane	0,95	• [, ••	••	4	0.052	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Mathoxychlor			••		100	180	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U	0.02 U
Toxaphene	0.73	0.0002	. ••	••	5	0.061	0.5 U	0.6 U	0.5 U	0.6 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U

Notes:

-- Not Available CMC: Criteria Maximum Concentration

CCC: Criterion Continuous Concentration

¹ EPA National Recommended Water Quality Criteria (April 1999)


² Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) Freshwater Chronic Criteria, Chapter 340, Division 41 (Table 20)

OAR Drinking Water Oriteria, Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL), Chapter 340, Division 41 (Table 20)

⁴ EPA Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goal for Tap Water

¹ This value is a proposed equalic life criterion to be considered for adoption; EPA has not responded to public comment for this value • insufficient data to develop criteria; value presented is the Lowest Observed Effect Level (LOEL)

Site Location Map Port of Portland Portland, Oregon






0 1,000 2,000 4,000

Scale in Feet

Contour Interval 10 Feet



Water Quality Monitoring Program at Terminal 5, Berth 503 Port of Portland Portland, Oregon



Not to Scale

Legend


O

Seabird® CTD Monitoring Location

Seabird® CTD Monitoring and Water Column Sample Location



Water Quality Monitoring Program at Terminal 6, Berths 603 - 605 Port of Portland Portland, Oregon



Legend

O Seabirde CTD Monitoring Location

Seabirde CTD Monitoring and Water Column Sample Location

Not to Scale



HARTCROWSER

Figure 3 -

3/01